

LAWRENCE A. ORGAN (SBN 175503)  
larry@civilrightsca.com

NAVRUZ AVLONI (SBN 279556)  
navruz@civilrightsca.com

CIMONE A. NUNLEY (SBN 326915)  
cimone@civilrightsca.com

**CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW  
GROUP**

332 San Anselmo Avenue  
San Anselmo, California 94960

Telephone: (415)-453-7352

Facsimile: (415)-785-7352

J. BERNARD ALEXANDER (SBN 128307)

**ALEXANDER MORRISON + FEHR LLP**

1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900

Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: (310) 394-0888

Facsimile: (310) 394-0811

MICHAEL RUBIN (SBN 80618)

mrubin@altber.com

JONATHAN ROSENTHAL (SBN 329638)

jrosenthal@altber.com

**ALTSHULER BERZON LLP**

177 Post Street, Suite 300

San Francisco, California 94108

Telephone: (415) 421-7151

Facsimile: (415) 362-8064

Attorneys for Plaintiff OWEN DIAZ

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ, and  
LAMAR PATTERSON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESLA, INC. dba TESLA MOTORS, INC.;  
CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC.; WEST  
VALLEY STAFFING GROUP;  
CHARTWELL STAFFING SERVICES, INC.;  
and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
EXTEND DEADLINE FOR ACCEPTING  
OR REJECTING REMITTITUR  
PENDING REQUEST FOR  
INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW**

On April 13, 2022, this Court issued its Order on Post-Trial Motions, in which it denied Tesla's motion for judgment as a matter of law and granted in part and denied in part Tesla's motion for a new trial. *See* Dkt. No. 328. As relevant here, the Court denied Tesla's motion for a new trial but conditioned that denial on Plaintiff Owen Diaz's acceptance of a remittitur of total compensatory damages from \$6.9 million to \$1.5 million, and of punitive damages from \$130 million to \$13.5 million. *Id.* at 43. The Court stated that "Diaz shall file a notice on the docket within 30 days stating whether he accepts or rejects the remittitur (and a proposed amended judgment if he accepts it). At that point, I will, as appropriate, either enter an order granting a new trial on damages or enter a final, appealable order denying Tesla's motion and an amended judgment." *Id.* The 30-day deadline for accepting or rejecting the remittitur is May 13, 2022.

Plaintiff Owen Diaz has filed a motion requesting that the Court certify its Order on Post-Trial Motions for interlocutory review under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). To preserve the status quo and prevent Diaz's Section 1292(b) motion from becoming moot, the Court GRANTS Diaz's administrative motion to extend the 30-day deadline for accepting or rejecting the remittitur. The deadline is extended to seven (7) days after a final certification decision is made, i.e., until the later of: (1) this Court's denial of Plaintiff's motion for Section 1292(b) certification; (2) this Court's grant of certification and the Ninth Circuit's denial of certification; or (3) this Court's and the Ninth Circuit's grant of certification and a Ninth Circuit ruling affirming the Post-Trial Order or reversing it only in part.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
William Orrick III  
United States District Court Judge